

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	10.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/736,700		12/17/2003	Yuichi Kinoshita	D-1563	5694
32628	7590	02/25/2005		EXAMINER	
		NESAKA BERNER	PICKARD, ALISON K		
	E 300, 1700 DIAGONAL RD ANDRIA, VA 22314-2848			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3676	
	•			DATE MAILED: 02/25/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Community	10/736,700	KINOSHITA, YUICHI					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Alison K. Pickard	3676					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Clafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, of If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by a Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ron. a reply within the statutory minimum of third beriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	This action is non-final. owance except for formal matter	•					
Disposition of Claims							
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the specific process.	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand orrection is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a</li> </ul>	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/St	B) Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date iformal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/736,700

Art Unit: 3676

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 7 is unclear. Is that a formula? If not, replace the ">" with a word(s).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-7 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Miyaoh (6,682,080).

The applied reference might have a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the

Application/Control Number: 10/736,700

Art Unit: 3676

inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Miyaoh discloses a gasket comprising a metal plate 10 having a folded portion 11 around a cylinder bore 2 and a half bead 13 around a liquid hole 3. A first paint coating 22 is formed outside the folded portion, and a second paint coating 23 is formed on and around the bead. The first coating 22 is thicker than the second coating 23.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Miyaoh.

The applied reference might have a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the reference under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in

Art Unit: 3676

accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). For applications filed on or after November 29, 1999, this rejection might also be overcome by showing that the subject matter of the reference and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person. See MPEP § 706.02(l)(1) and § 706.02(l)(2).

Miyaoh does not specifically state that the coatings partly abut. Forming the coatings so that they abut is considered a design choice. It is not considered inventive to discover the workable or optimum ranges by routine experimentation. See In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955). The widths of the coatings or the configuration of the gasket and holes can be designed such that the coatings would abut, as evidenced by Figure 24 of Yoshida '415. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the coatings so that they partly abut as a matter of choice in design.

### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alison K. Pickard whose telephone number is 703-305-0882. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (10-7:30), with alternate Friday's off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Shackelford can be reached on 703-308-2978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/736,700

Art Unit: 3676

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alison K. Pickard Primary Examiner Art Unit 3676 Page 5